

Terms of Reference (TOR)
Endline Evaluation
of
Integrated Community Development Project (ICDP)

Good Neighbors International Nepal
Lagankhel, Lalitpur
www.gninepal.org



September 2026

Terms of Reference (TOR)

Project Title	Integrated Community Development Project
Sectoral Themes	▪ Education and protection ▪ Public Health (Health & WASH) ▪ Social Economy
Project Duration	5 Years [2022-2026]
Type of Evaluation	Endline Evaluation
EOI Notice Published Date	4 th September, 2026
Deadline to submit the proposal	15th September, 2026

1. General Background

Good Neighbors International (GNI) has been working in Nepal since 2002 with the objective of improving the lives of people, especially children through implementing community development projects in the areas of education, child protection, income generation, public health, WASH, and disaster risk reduction. Good Neighbors International Nepal upholds a child-centered community development model that puts the children at the center and works together with their families, communities and social institutions to achieve long-term development aspirations considering the multi-faceted nature of community development.

In 2022, Good Neighbors International Nepal entered the fifth phase project agreement (PA, 2022-2026) with the Social Welfare Council (SWC) of Nepal and has since been implementing Integrated Community Development Project (ICDP) in 17 districts. This phase of the project was intended to improve well-being of children, their families and communities through interventions under three sectoral areas: (a) Education and Protection, (b) Public Health and (c) Social Economy.

Before implementing ICDP, Good Neighbors International Nepal conducted Baseline study in 2022 to assess the pre-project status in the working community. Since the project is going to be completed in December 2026, it is considered to conduct end-line evaluation to assess the overall achievements, outcomes and changes brought about by the Integrated Community Development Project (ICDP) and compare them with the

baseline information collected in 2022. Furthermore, the endline evaluation will provide valuable information for accountability and reporting purposes, support organizational learning, and inform the design and planning of future programs and strategic interventions in similar contexts.

2. Brief Information about the Project

Integrated Community Development Project (ICDP) of Good Neighbors International Nepal adopts child-centered community development approach, which considers children as primary stakeholders. The organization strives to create a conducive environment for enabling children, their families and community members to have a better future and envisions self-reliant communities which allow children to thrive and have a dignified life. Good Neighbors International Nepal's programming aligns with United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC), and the policies and plans of the Government of Nepal and it contributes towards achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) set out by the United Nations.

The thematic areas of project are education, child protection, public health, and social economy. Likewise, environmental management, Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction (CCA & DRR) and GEDSI are complementing to each thematic areas as cross cutting themes. The project's child protection, education, and public health interventions directly benefit children. Social economy and public health interventions benefit their families. Community members derive benefit from public health, community infrastructure, awareness-raising, advocacy, and CCA and DRR related interventions. The projects' interventions are driven by local needs, and its approach is largely a bottom-up process and strives to attain holistic development along with supporting children to grow with dignity.

[Note: please refer to annex#1 & 2 for project's result framework and working location]

3. Purpose and Rationale of Endline Evaluation

The purpose of the endline evaluation is to assess the extent to which the project has achieved its intended outcomes by measuring changes in key indicators against baseline values and evaluating the effectiveness, relevance and sustainability of the Integrated Community Development Project (ICDP) model.

The endline will also assess the relevance, efficiency, coherence and sustainability of the project interventions, identify key achievements, challenges and lessons learned; and generate evidence to inform organizational learning, accountability, future programming and strategic decision-making.

The evaluation will also assess the effectiveness of the integration of cross-cutting themes such as GEDSI, climate change adaptation, environment and disaster risk reduction in building resilient, self-reliant communities where children can grow and thrive with dignity.

4. Objective of Endline Evaluation

Endline evaluation aims to achieve following objectives:

General objective

- To measure intended changes in outcome indicators against the project baseline and assess the effectiveness of the Integrated Community Development Project (ICDP) model.

Specific objectives

- To assess increased child rights awareness and practices among adults and children.
- To measure improved graduation rate and learning achievement.
- To evaluate increased utilization of health, sanitation, safe water and hygiene services.

- To measure improved menstrual hygiene management practices and girls' school attendance.
- To assess increased livelihood opportunities, employment generation, household income and enterprise profit.
- To measure changes in agricultural production and productivity.
- To assess the sustainability and institutionalization of project-supported practices, systems and capacities at household, community and institutional levels.
- To assess the contribution and functionality of local institutions and mechanisms established or strengthened through ICDP.
- To identify unintended positive or negative outcomes of the project.

The objective of this TOR is to frame the general terms and conditions applicable to potential firm/company/organization to achieve the objective of the endline evaluation.

Details of indicators are in Annex#1

5. Scope of Assignment

This endline evaluation will be carried out in the project area (17 district) targeting the beneficiaries including children, their parents, and representatives of social institutions associated with the project. The primary data will be collected using both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The secondary information will be generated from available reports, institutional records and relevant project documents.

The selected consultant must perform the following scope of work for the endline evaluation:

Scope#1: Survey design	▪ Desk review ▪ Develop potential methodologies and approaches to be used for the survey ▪ Develop survey tools (questionnaires, checklists, survey forms, etc.) for quantitative and qualitative data collection
--------------------------------------	---

	▪ Deploy the survey tools into an authentic data collection program in a mobile application (Kobo toolbox), both in English and Nepali language ▪ Define and calculate the sample population and sample size based on standard statistical measurement and methodological alignment with baseline survey ▪ Piloting of survey tools (1 day field work in valley) ▪ Orientation to endline evaluation team (one event) about the survey tools, data collection process ▪ Develop ethical and safeguarding protocols for interviews involving children and adolescents. ▪ Orientation and training to enumerators on survey tools and methods
Scope#2: Qualitative data generation	▪ Conduct field survey in targeted areas using qualitative approach of data collection
Scope#3: Data management & analysis	▪ Ensure quality of data generation from the mobile application (System monitoring of quantitative data collection) ▪ Manage (validation, entry and cleaning) and analyze survey data using standard software. The analysis must consider data segregation based on project areas, gender, age, ethnicity and other relevant attributes ▪ Deliver the clean version of database (in MS Excel and SPSS) ▪ Transcribe and analyze qualitative data/information generated by Good Neighbors International Nepal ▪ Comparative analysis of baseline and endline evaluation is must
Scope#4:	▪ Share preliminary research findings as a draft report

Evaluation report	▪ Share the evaluation findings in an internal meeting of Good Neighbors International Nepal ▪ Prepare and submit the final evaluation report in English language following the reporting structure provided in Annex#3 (one main report with indicator table and district-wise summary, maximum 3-4 pages in annex). The report shall present both quantitative and qualitative findings and include: ○ A comparative analysis of all project indicators, examining changes between the baseline values (2022) and endline values (2026), and comparing the endline results with relevant national indicators, ○ Assessment of project achievements against outcome areas and project logical framework, ○ Analysis against evaluation criteria (Relevance, Effectiveness, Efficiency, Impact, Coherence and Sustainability), ○ Assessment of the ICDP model, GEDSI integration, institutional strengthening and sustainability mechanisms, ○ Role, engagement and functionality of Community Development Committee (CDC) and Project Management Committee (PMC) of Good Neighbors International Nepal ○ Key findings, lessons learned, challenges, conclusions and recommendations, ○ District-wise summaries and supporting annexes.
-------------------	---

Note:

(a) Quantitative data collection - The data collection work will be carried out by the consultant using the KOBO toolbox. The consultant will be responsible for developing and digitizing the survey questionnaire in both English and Nepali languages, ensuring proper data management, cleaning, validation and analysis of the quantitative data generated through the survey.

(b) Qualitative data collection - The consultant will be responsible for conducting qualitative data collection in selected project areas using appropriate methods such as Key Informant Interviews (KIIs), Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), case studies and other relevant participatory approaches.

6. Methodology and Approach

This endline evaluation will apply a mixed-method approach, i.e., having both quantitative and qualitative methods. It is suggested to use structured (or semi-structured) questionnaires to collect quantitative information while other methods such as Focus Group Discussion (FGD) and Key Informant Interview (KII) can be applied for collecting qualitative data.

The information from associated social institutions (health post, schools and cooperatives) can be collected through in-depth or semi-structured interviews with representatives, observation of facilities, review of available documents and records, etc. Likewise, a specific checklist can be used to collect information from the associated social institutions.

Sampling and Sample size: The endline evaluation must adopt simple random sampling techniques to calculate the sample size. The identified sample size must be proportionately distributed based on the number of sponsored household to each project district. The sampling approach should ensure adequate representation of project beneficiaries across project districts, demographic groups and other relevant categories. The consultant/firm is expected to review the baseline sampling methodology and any modification to the sampling design or sample size must be

discussed and finalized in consultation with Good Neighbors International Nepal before commencement of data collection.

S.N	District	Number of sample HHs (minimum)
1	Doti	23
2	Darchula	28
3	Bajura	27
4	Achham	26
5	Kailali	10
6	Bardiya	10
7	Humla	34
8	Mugu	36
9	Myagdi	34
10	Parbat	28
11	Kaski	24
12	Gorkha	25
13	Nuwakot	35
14	Kathmandu	10
15	Lalitpur	10
16	Mahottari	31
17	Dhanusha	28
	Total (minimum)	419

Participants of Endline Evaluation: The endline evaluation will be performed among diverse project participants and stakeholders. The quantitative data will be collected from household level where the household head, their families, children, and adolescents can be considered as primary respondents. Likewise, data will be generated from other participants as well based on nature of project's results and indicators. Some

of the expected participants are representatives of cooperative, schools and health facilities, Female Community Health Volunteers (FCHVs), Health Mother's Group (HMG), elected representatives and officials of Local Government Units, and other concerned stakeholders available at district and municipality level.

The selected consultant/firm may propose innovative, yet relevant methods of data collection, management and analysis based on the nature of the proposed evaluation, if any. A joint meeting with the selected consultant/firm will be organized to finalize methodologies and approaches that can be applied to the evaluation.

7. Expected Deliverables of the Assignment

The following are expected deliverables of the assignment:

SN	Name of deliverable	Details	Medium
1	Inception report	An inception report including detailed methodology, sampling framework, a detailed survey plan and analysis framework	E-copies
2	Survey tools	- The final version of survey instruments/tools, questionnaires, checklists, etc. in English and Nepali language - Digitized form in Kobo Toolbox for quantitative data collection (English and Nepali language)	E-copies Program in Kobo toolbox
3	Survey Database	Clean and final datasheet of the quantitative survey in SPSS and Excel version including any	E-copies

SN	Name of deliverable	Details	Medium
		information/data collected during qualitative inquiry in appropriate format	
4	Draft evaluation report	Draft report	E-copies
5	Final evaluation report	Final report (including district-wise summary in annexes) in English in accordance with reporting template provided in Annex#3	E-copies and Hardcopy

8. Responsibilities of Good Neighbors International Nepal

Good Neighbors International Nepal will be responsible for the information dissemination to project offices and partners including coordination and collaboration required at field level for the evaluation. Specifically, Good Neighbors International Nepal will:

- Provide relevant project documents and information for desk review
- Provide inputs to finalize the detailed plan for endline evaluation, methodologies, tools, approaches, and instruments
- Give input on the draft report and facilitate finalizing the report
- Bear all direct costs of the consultant as per agreement
- Mobilize enumerators for quantitative data collection

9. Budget and Payment Procedures

The individual consultant/firm shall propose a total budget (including tax) with a detailed breakdown including applicable taxes at the time of proposal submission. The budget covers consultancy fees of thematic experts and other direct costs related to the scope of work. The consultant/firm shall bear all tariffs, duties and applicable taxes or charges levied at any stage during the execution of the work.

The maximum budget ceiling for this assignment is **NPR 1,250,000 (Nepalese Rupees Twelve Lakhs Fifty Thousand only)**, inclusive of all applicable taxes and fees.

The total consultancy cost (agreed) will be paid in three installments:

- 30% upon submission and acceptance of the inception report
- 40% upon submission of the draft report including cleaned datasets and sharing the evaluation findings in an internal meeting and acceptance of the draft report
- 30% upon acceptance of the final report

[Note: Good Neighbors International Nepal will not be responsible for covering insurance and other hidden costs related to the evaluation]

10. Timeline

The evaluation is expected to be completed within twelve (12) working weeks. The tentative timeline is presented below;

Deliverable	Expected submission
Inception Report and Survey Plan	1 week (Sept 17 – Sept 22)
Final Survey Tools and Sampling Framework	1 week (Sept 23 – Sept 30)
Completion of Data Collection	2 weeks (Oct 1- Oct 16)
Clean Dataset and Preliminary Findings	2 weeks (Oct 27 – Nov 6)
Draft Endline Evaluation Report	4 weeks (Nov 7 – Dec 4)
Presentation of Findings	1 week (Dec 4 – Dec 10)
Final Endline Evaluation Report and Database	1 week (Dec 10 – Dec 17)

11. Acceptance of Proposal

All rights to accept or reject a proposal, without giving any reasons, shall be reserved with Good Neighbors International Nepal. If deemed necessary, the consultant shall be asked for modifications.

12. Proposed Team Composition

Good Neighbors International Nepal expects an evaluation team composition of experts with proven experience in the field of development survey and research, especially in community development. The proposed team composition should be as follows:

[A] Evaluation Team Leader: The team leader shall be responsible for ensuring the evaluation is correctly designed, implemented and reported.

The team Leader shall have at least a master's degree in social science or related fields (PhD preferable) and at least 7 years of professional experience in:

- Planning, designing and management of qualitative and quantitative studies
- Evaluating integrated development projects
- Developing a wide variety of data collection tools
- Expertise in high volume data collection, management, and analysis
- Training and managing evaluation team
- Hands-on experience in excellent professional report writing of surveys/research/evaluation in advanced English language

[B] Data Analyst: Data analyst should have;

- At least a master's degree in statistics, data science and/or related field
- At least three years of relevant experience in quantitative research, including baseline/end-line of development projects
- Advanced knowledge of research software (both quantitative and qualitative) for data management and analysis
- Excellent analytical skills

[C] Thematic Experts: Thematic experts related to nature of project theme (a) education and child protection, (b) public health, (c) social economy and (d) GEDSI

[Note: These are only the proposed team members for the evaluation design and report. The consultant/firm can propose the team as per the understanding of the assignment]

13. Termination of the Contract

Good Neighbors International Nepal will terminate the contract if the consultant/firm commits a breach in the performance or observance of its obligation under this ToR. The consultant/firm shall be notified in written form within a week prior to the termination of the agreement.

14. Safeguarding Compliance

The consultant/firm and all personnel engaged in this assignment shall strictly comply with Good Neighbors International Nepal's Child Safeguarding, Safeguarding, and PSEAH (Prevention of Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Harassment) policies, Code of Conduct, and related procedures throughout the evaluation. The consultant/firm shall ensure that all team members uphold the highest standards of ethical and professional conduct and take all necessary measures to prevent any form of child abuse, exploitation, neglect, violence, harassment or safeguarding violation.

15. Confidentiality

During the performance of the assignment or any time after the expiry or termination of the agreement, the consultant/firm shall not disclose or otherwise make use of any data and information which the consultant/firm has obtained or may obtain in the course of the project evaluation relating to partner organization/Good Neighbors International, the respondents or otherwise.

16. Copyright

The firm/consultant shall collect and document required information during the entire course of the evaluation and include information/data that is not included in the report under annexes. The consultant/firm shall also take relevant pictures subject to prior informed consent from participants and in compliance with Good Neighbors International Nepal's safeguarding and ethical standards. Copyright of all the data and documents will remain with Good Neighbors International Nepal. The firm/consultant

cannot publish the findings of this study without taking prior consent from Good Neighbors International Nepal.

17. Conflict of Interest and Independence Declaration

The consultant/firm shall declare any actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest. Firms or individuals directly involved in the design, implementation or routine monitoring of the ICDP may be considered ineligible where such involvement could compromise the independence of the assessment.

18. Documents to be Submitted

The individual consultants or registered company shall submit an application with the following documents:

A. Technical and Financial Proposal

- Technical proposal: A concept note including the evaluation approach, methodology, work plan and team composition.
- Signed CVs of the proposed team
- Financial proposal: Detailed budget breakdown including taxes.

Additional documents including the proposal

(a) For registered companies:

- Organization profile with relevant experiences
- A copy of company/firm registration
- A copy of Tax clearance certificate
- VAT/PAN registration
- Audit report (latest year)
- A sample work from the past
- Any other relevant documents

(b) For individual consultants:

- Copy of PAN/VAT Registration of Team

- A sample work from the past
- Other relevant documents that demonstrate the experience of the proposed team.

19. Evaluation Criteria of the EOI

Quality and cost-based selection criteria would be adopted to select the consultant. 70% weightage is given to the technical proposal that includes the proposed methodology including survey plan and timeline, team composition, the experience of the evaluation team, and quality control on analysis and reporting. The 30% weightage is provided to financial bidding, i.e., the proposed cost for the evaluation.

The proposal should reach the address below **via email or courier or hand delivery** by **15th September 2026**, 17:00 Hrs. (Nepal Local Time). Please, enclose the proposal in an envelope (or subject of email) and mark it “**Proposal for Endline Evaluation of ICDP**” and drop it at the following address:

Good Neighbors International Nepal
Karmachari Sanchya Kosh Bhawan, 5th floor, Lagankhel, Lalitpur
GPO Box 8975, EPC 1605
Kathmandu, Nepal
Or Email: eoι@gninepal.org

[Note: Good Neighbors International Nepal maintains a zero-tolerance policy towards all forms of child violence, abuse, exploitation, neglect, harassment and sexual abuse.]

Annex#1: Project's result framework

Logical Intervention	Indicators
Impact: To improve well-being of children, their families and communities	
Outcome 1: Children are protected and enjoyed right to education	– % of adults demonstrate supportive Knowledge Attitude and Practice (KAP) on child rights and development – Graduation rate at primary and secondary level – % Increase in learning achievement at basic and secondary level
	– % of children demonstrate knowledge on child rights – % change in reading habits of children in Early Childhood and Education Development (ECED) and primary level – % of dropout rate – % of parents visit the school at least once a year to get concerned about their child's education
	– % of new entrants of children in grade one with ECED experience in Good Neighbors International supported schools – % of out of school children
Outcome 2: People exercise essential healthcare service and facilities	– # of 4th ANC and 3rd PNC visit, – % of institutional delivery – # of children having access to improved WASH facilities at schools – % of school attending adolescent girls feel confident to manage menstruation at school
	– % of immunization coverage – Coverage of drinking water supply at community [<i>% of HH having access to safe drinking water facility</i>] – % of HHs use at least one drinking water treatment method

Logical Intervention	Indicators
	– % of population using the improved toilet [<i>access to (a) toilet, (b) improved toilet</i>]
	– Patient's satisfaction level with service delivery from public health system – % of adult who practice hand-washing using soap at four critical times (before eating/ feeding, after using toilet, after use of poisonous things, after caring of children) – % of girls attending school during menstruation
Outcome 3: People achieve economic empowerment	– # of job created by micro enterprises – % of micro enterprises generating profit – Proportion of annual household income contributed by enterprise
	– No of youths engaged in market-based jobs. – Average annual household income – % of households diversify their livelihoods [diversified income] – % change in agricultural production/ productivity

Annex#2: Project targeted districts and local units

SN	Province	SN	District	Municipality & Rural Municipality	Wards Covered
1	Madesh	1	Dhanusha	▪ Mukhiyapatti Musarniy RM ▪ Mithila Bihari Municipality	▪ All wards
		2	Mahottari	▪ Bhangaha Municipality	▪ All wards
2	Bagmati	3	Kathmandu	▪ Tarkeshwor Municipality ▪ Tokha Municipality	▪ Ward no 1,2,7 ▪ Ward no 1
		4	Lalitpur	▪ Godawori Municipality ▪ Konjosom RM	▪ All wards ▪ Ward no 4
		5	Nuwakot	▪ Dupcheshwor RM ▪ Panchakanya RM	▪ All wards ▪ All wards
3	Gandaki	6	Gorkha	▪ Arughat RM ▪ Dharche RM ▪ Gorkha Municipality	▪ All wards ▪ All wards ▪ Ward no 4
		7	Kaski	▪ Annapurna RM ▪ Machhapuchhre RM ▪ Maadi RM	▪ All wards
		8	Parbat	▪ Phalewas Municipality ▪ Modi RM ▪ Kushma Municipality	▪ All wards
		9	Myagdi	▪ Dhawalagiri RM ▪ Malika RM	▪ All wards
4	Lumbini	10	Bardiya	▪ Geruwa RM ▪ Madhuwan Municipality ▪ Rajapur Municipality	▪ All wards
5	Karnali	11	Humla	▪ Chankheli RM ▪ Kharpunath RM ▪ Sarkegad RM ▪ Simkot RM	▪ All wards
		12	Mugu	▪ Chhayanath Rara Municipality ▪ Khatyad RM ▪ Mugum Karmarung RM	▪ All wards

SN	Province	SN	District	Municipality & Rural Municipality	Wards Covered
				▪ Soru RM	
6	Sudurpashim	13	Achham	▪ Chaurpati RM	▪ All wards
		14	Bajura	▪ Budhiganga Municipality ▪ Chhededaha RM	▪ All wards
		15	Darchula	▪ Malikarjun RM ▪ Mahakali Municipality ▪ Duhu RM	▪ All wards ▪ Ward no 9 ▪ All wards
		16	Doti	▪ Dipayal Silgadhi Municipality ▪ Sikhar Municipality ▪ Purbichuki RM	▪ All wards
		17	Kailali	▪ Bardagoriya RM ▪ Janaki RM ▪ Lamkichuha Municipality ▪ Tikapur Municipality ▪ Godawari Municipality	▪ All wards

Annex#3: Reporting Template

Acknowledgement

Acronyms

Executive Summary

CHAPTER I: BACKGROUND

1.1 ICD Project

1.2 ICD Model

1.3 Rational of Endline Evaluation

1.4 Purpose and Scope of Endline Evaluation

1.5 Objectives of the Study

1.6 Delimitations of the Study

CHAPTER II: METHODOLOGY AND APPRAOCH

2.1 Evaluation Design

2.2 Evaluation Criteria

2.3 Data Collection Approach

2.3.1 Sample Size

2.3.2 Data collection tools

2.3.3 Quality assurance and ethical considerations

2.3.4 Schedule of field work

2.3.5 Anticipated risk and mitigation measures

2.4 Data Analysis and Interpretation

CHAPTER III: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Socio-Demographic Features of Participants

3.1.1 Sex and age-group

3.1.2 Caste-ethnicity and religion

3.1.3 Education level

3.1.4 Status of participants

3.2 Assessment of Project's Results

3.2.1 Child protection and right

3.2.1.1 KAP on child rights and development of adults

- 3.2.1.2 Graduation rate at primary and secondary level
- 3.2.1.3 Increase in learning achievement at basic and secondary level
- 3.2.1.4 Children knowledge on child rights
- 3.2.1.5 Reading habits of children in ECED and primary level
- 3.2.1.6 Dropout rate
- 3.2.1.7 Parents visit to school
- 3.2.1.8 New entrants of children in grade one with ECED experience in Good Neighbors International support schools
- 3.2.1.9 Out of school children
- 3.2.2 Essential healthcare services and facilities
 - 3.2.2.1 ANC, PNC visit
 - 3.2.2.2 Institutional delivery
 - 3.2.2.3 Children access to improved WASH facilities at school
 - 3.2.2.4 School attending adolescent girls' confidence in managing mensuration at school
 - 3.2.2.5 Immunization cover
 - 3.2.2.6 Community access to safe drinking water
 - 3.2.2.7 Household use of drinking water treatment
 - 3.2.2.8 Access to toilet/improved toilet
 - 3.2.2.9 Patient satisfaction from public health system
 - 3.2.2.10 Adult practicing hand-washing using soap at four critical times
 - 3.2.2.11 Girls attending school during mensuration
- 3.2.3 Economic empowerment
 - 3.2.3.1 Job creation by micro enterprises
 - 3.2.3.2 Micro enterprises generating profit
 - 3.2.3.3 Annual household income contribution by enterprise
 - 3.2.3.3 Youth engagement in market-based jobs
 - 3.2.3.4 Average annual household income
 - 3.2.3.5 Income diversification
 - 3.2.3.6 change in agriculture production
- 3.2.4 ICDP Based Model [Institutional Social Model + Social Institutional Model]

- 3.2.4.1 Participation and understanding of ICDP-based model
- 3.2.4.2 Child centric development approach [Right Based /Need Based]
- 3.2.4.3 CDC/PMC mobilization and functionality (relevance, effectiveness, sustainability, capacity)
- 3.3 Findings against evaluation criteria
 - 3.3.1 Relevance
 - 3.3.1.1 Alignment with local needs and priorities
 - 3.3.1.2 Necessity of mobilization of cooperatives, LRP, FCHV, Child Club
 - 3.3.1.3 Necessity of parental education, EMS distribution, GHC
 - 3.3.2 Efficiency
 - 3.3.2.1 Efficiency in managing local-level activities
 - 3.3.2.2 Efficiency in Mobilizing Local Resources (cooperatives, community contributions)
 - 3.3.3 Effectiveness
 - 3.3.3.1 Outcome 1: Child protection and right
 - 3.3.3.2 Outcome 2: Essential healthcare services and facilities
 - 3.3.3.3 Outcome 3: Economic empowerment
 - 3.3.4 Impact
 - 3.3.4.1 Wellbeing of children, families and communities
 - 3.3.5 Coherence
 - 3.3.5.1 Synergy and Integration of Components of ICDP Model with national and local development priorities
 - 3.3.5.2 Collaboration with Local governments, Institutions and Networks
 - 3.3.6 Sustainability
 - 3.3.6.1 Mechanisms in place to ensure sustainability of results
 - 3.3.6.2 Institutional readiness of cooperatives, schools, Health facilities, LRPs, CDC, PM
 - 3.3.7 Cross cutting themes
 - 3.3.7.1 Integration of GEDSI perspective
 - 3.3.7.2 Environmental management, Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction (CCA & DRR)
 - 3.3.8 Learning from good practice and challenges

3.3.8.1 Factors Influencing Success and Failure

3.3.8.2 Opportunities and Challenges in Implementing the ICD Project in Nepal

CHAPTER IV: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Conclusion

4.2 Recommendation

Annexes

Annex-1 Evaluation framework, criteria and questions

Annex-2 Data collection tools and questionnaires

Annex-3 Case stories

Annex-4 Quantitative Data (raw data in excel)

Annex-5 District wise summary

[The End of document]